

Feasibility Study of Overburden Material for Hydraulic Stowing in Mines

Ishwardas Muthreja and Rajendra Yerpude

Department of Mining Engineering, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, India

Aniruddha Ghare and Liladhar K. Dhote

Department of Civil Engineering, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, India

ABSTRACT

The results discussed in this paper highlighted a Feasibility study of overburden material (OBM) from mines as stowing/backfill material. The study refers significant physical parameters like particle size, dry density, porosity, permeability etc. The flow characteristic i.e. pressure gradient change for slurry transport of OBM) for 37.5 mm circular pipe have been experimentally evaluated. The linear expressions related with change in pressure gradient have been presented using multiple regressions of data. Water percolation characteristic after placement of slurry also have been evaluated experimentally. The commonly used stowing material; sand is taken for the comparative analysis with overburden material.

INTRODUCTION

Huge quantity of overburden material is generated in opencast workings of the mines of MOIL (Manganese Ore India Limited). Use of these OB materials for backfilling/stowing may found to be useful for mining industries to recycle the material and reduce the environmental impact, thus saving of the land used for dump creation. OB dump is considered as a major constituent to the ecological and environmental degradation as soil erosion and environmental pollution (Yaseen et al. 2012). Most of the OB material is disposed temporarily over valuable land mass, this inevitably requires planning and control to minimise their negative impacts over environment (Barapanda 2001). The transportation cost will be also minimized as the filling material will be available in the close proximity of mine.

Large underground space left out after mining operations creates subsidence problems affecting ground stability. Thus, to provide ground supports to minimise the land subsidence problems and mine safety aspects, backfilling is the valuable part of mining (Kesimal et al. 2002, Barret et al. 1978). The backfilling of underground voids improves the stability, enabling more efficient mining of the surrounding areas (Grice T 2001).

Hydraulic fill is one of the most commonly used mine-fill technique, which ultimately provides the stability. In hydraulic fill mostly river sand is widely used as a stowing material; as it is easily available and economically feasible and the most important is its geotechnical properties. Stowing material is generally hydro-transported to its desired destination to fill up the voids and the carrier is allowed to drain out.



(Source:<http://www.edumine.com/figures/fg10001a.xml>)

Figure 1. Slurry transportation through pipe

Sand is a commonly used stowing material in hydraulic stowing but Maharashtra regulatory restrictions on sand mining have lead to unavailability of sand for backfilling or stowing purpose. Hence unavailability of river sand for backfilling mine voids is a major concern and mine operators and planners are emphasizing on developing an alternative stowing material to river sand. Some of the common types of materials which can be used for backfilling other than sand are waste overburden (OB) rock in crushed form, mill tailings, quarried rock and gravel.

Alternative material for backfilling in underground mine void is selected on the basis of its physical and geotechnical properties and flow characteristics. While choosing a hydro-transported stowing material in form of slurry mixture, it is governed by pipeline parameters, liquid parameters, solid parameters and system parameters e.g. mixture flow velocity, volumetric concentration, particle size, pressure gradient etc., particle size is one of the important physical property and pressure drop reduction while transport of slurry for overburden material in comparison with sand have been highlighted in this study.

The experimental data in the present study refers to overburden material (OBM) from mining premises and the source of natural sand is Pench River, Nagpur (MS).

PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS

Particle Size

There is a significant importance of grain size over geotechnical assessment of filling material. Backfilling material, which contains well-graded particles, should offer more resistance to displacement and settlement than one with uniformly graded particles. Kesimal (2004) found that particle size distribution (PSD) analysis is one of the most important parameters for backfilling materials. Maximum grain size of a grain used in filling should be less than 1/5th of the pipe bore to limit the critical velocity of flow in pipe (Prashant et al. 2010). It has been observed that the presence of fine grained sediments within coarse particle slurries results in a reduction of power required for pumping the slurry to disposal. The required pump pressure to transport slurries in pipelines and inject them into voids and cracks is a function size distribution of aggregates with some important parameters (Alehossein H 2012). The mechanisms responsible for hydraulic gradient reduction effect are not well understood or quantified. Limited studies have verified that fine sediment concentrations as low as 6% of the total solids volume reduces the hydraulic gradient in pipelines. The maximum backfill grain size is generally limited by material transport restrictions.

ASTM D 422 - Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils was referred for analyzing the data. The test samples of OBM were collected from various open cast sites of Dongri Buzurg mine. These samples are crushed in crushing machine.

This mixed test samples are selected for PSD analysis. A set of sieves with aperture sizes 212 μm , 300 μm , 425 μm , 500 μm , 1 mm, 2 mm, 4 mm, 5.6 mm were used. Dry sieving of OBM and sand samples was done. Then after calculating the percent retained on each sieve by dividing the weight retained on each sieve by the original sample mass, percent finer were determined. The particle size distribution curves for sand and crushed overburden sample was plotted on semi log scale where sieve sizes (particle sizes) on horizontal logarithmic scale relating percent finer on vertical arithmetic scale as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. Particle size distribution used in the present study

Table 1. Coefficients of uniformity and of curvature of PSD test for sand sample and OBM samples used in this study

Test Sample	C_u	C_c
Sand sample	2.00	1.09
OBM sample 1	12.74	1.43
OBM sample 2	4.83	0.46
OBM sample 3	9.66	0.32
OBM sample 4	8.97	0.27
OBM sample 5	5.00	0.35
OBM sample 6	4.02	0.40

The grain size distribution also shows following results;

Sand sample: Gravel-7.46 %, Sand-91.90%, Fine-0.64%

OBM sample: Gravel-3.15 %, Sand-79.16% Fine-17.25%

Coefficient of uniformity (CU) and coefficient of curvature (CC) for sand sample and OBM samples have been computed and compared based on the particle size analysis as tabulated in Table 1. For OBM

samples higher value of Cu (>4) indicates wider assortment of particle and well graded compared with sand sample.

Some Important Physical Properties

Some additional physical properties were determined in geotechnical laboratory for both sand and OBM samples. These properties may form the basis for assessment of suitability of a stowing material in comparison with sand. The results are tabulated in Table 2.

Table 2. Important physical properties of stowing materials

Property	Sand sample	OBM sample
Dry unit weight γ_d (gm/cc)	1.93	2.00
Bulk unit weight γ_b (g/cc)	1.98	2.20
Specific Gravity of Solids, S	2.63	2.62
Relative Density (gm/cc)	1.63	1.70
Void Ratio at OMC	0.52	0.35
Porosity (%)	34.0	25.6
Bulking (at corresponding)	22.5	19.0
Percentage of air voids	34.1	25.6
Permeability (cm/sec) (Constant head method)	6.233×10^{-5}	1.037×10^{-6}

Among the physical properties tabulated in Table 2, there is no significant variation for the properties like dry unit weight, bulk unit weight, specific gravity and relative density, shape of grains for OBM sample comparing sand sample. Void ratio, porosity and percentage of air voids of sand sample are more than that of OBM which consequently increase the bulking phenomenon in sand.

Permeability is necessary to ensure that excessive water needed to transport slurry into stopes from the surface, drains rapidly to allow for better placement and curing rates. Here permeability of both samples was determined by constant head method.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND PROGRAM

The experimental set up was developed in VNIT Nagpur. The perspective view of the experimental set up used in the present study is shown in Figure 3. It consists of a pipe loop of total length 23.20 meter and internal diameter 37.5 mm, laid horizontally with several bends. The slurry mixture was prepared for a desired volumetric concentration in consolidation tank fabricated from mild steel with dimensions 2100 mm, width 2100 mm and height 1200 mm (capacity 5292 litre). The stirring arrangement was used for uniform mixing. This efflux slurry was then circulated using centrifugal self priming and agitating slurry pump (7.50 kW). This slurry was collected in the stowing tank fabricated from mild steel with dimensions having length 2100 mm, width 2100 mm and height 1200 mm (capacity 5292 litre) for deposition and settlement analysis. HDPE (High Density Polyethylene) pipes were used. Drive panel connected electronically with slurry pump was used Drive panel connected electronically with slurry pump was used to regulate the flow on the downstream side of the slurry pump.



Figure 3. Actual view of experimental set-up used in the present study

Experimental Procedure and Measurements

The experimental flow characteristics like flow rate (Outlet discharge) and pressure at requisite positions are directly recorded in the computer with data acquisition system using electromagnetic flow meter and pressure transmitters. The pressure drop loop was considered from PT1 (Pressure Transmitter near the gate valve on suction side of pump) to PT6 (Pressure Transmitter fixed after electromagnetic flow meter).

Pure water was initially circulated in a pipe loop for a wide range of flow velocities (1 m/s – 10 m/s). A complete record data of flow characteristics (flow rates, pressure etc) was recorded and saved in correspondent files. The same procedure was adopted for sand mixture and OBM mixture slurries for concentrations 0.04 Cv (concentration by volume), 0.1 Cv and 0.3 Cv. The data acquired from electromagnetic flow meter refers to the flow rates at those particular instances. The concentration of slurry was maintained and monitored.

Velocity through circular pipe for desired flow is computed by a formula from these flow rates as follows;

$$V = \frac{Q}{A} \quad (1)$$

where,

Q=Discharge through conduit (m³/sec)

A=Area of c/s of circular pipe ($\phi=37.5$ mm); (m²)

Pressure gradient (pressure loss) for a test loop between PT1 and PT6 has been computed; taking the difference of pressures at point 1 and point 6 in kg/cm², then it was converted in to a unit 'meter of water' by multiplying with 9.9965 as 1 kg/cm²= 9.9965 m of water. The pressure gradient per meter

length was converted in a unit of 'Pascal per meter' for a loop length =14.9 m. (Here 1 kg/cm² was converted in to 'Pascal' as 1 kg/cm² = 9.81 x 10000 Pascal.

Experimental Results

Pressure gradient per meter length (i , kpa/m) for sand and OBM slurries having concentrations 0.04 C_v , 0.10 C_v and 0.30 C_v have been evaluated. As the volumetric concentration (C_v) increases the pressure gradient (i) also increases in relation with pipe flow velocity and the rate of change of pressure gradient for sand and OBM slurry shows steeper pattern when compared with pure water flow. for 0.04 C_v pressure gradient of OBM slurry have higher values compared to sand slurry transportation, but as concentration increases, for higher velocities pressure gradient of OBM slurry showed decreased values.

The linear equations (2) and (3) can be established for OBM and sand slurry transportation through circular pipe of internal diameter 37.5 mm as follows;

For OBM slurry;

$$i_o = 1.2482 + 0.02368C_{v_o} + 0.3530V_o \quad (2)$$

where;

i_o -Pressure Gradient for OBM slurry, (kpa/m)

C_{v_o} -Volumetric concentration of OBM, (%)

V_o -Velocity of OBM slurry, (m/sec)

For Sand slurry

$$i_s = 0.4916 + 0.03032C_{v_s} + 0.4245V_s \quad (3)$$

where;

i_s -Pressure Gradient for Sand slurry, (kpa/m)

C_{v_s} -Volumetric concentration of Sand, (%)

Predicted pressure gradients for OBM slurry and sand slurry have been calculated using equations (2) and (3) respectively and compared with the experimental values as graphically presented in Figure 3 (a) and (b) for increasing flow velocities and volumetric concentrations 0.04 C_v , 0.10 C_v and 0.30 C_v . The regression statistics for both slurries show that percentage error between experimental and predicted pressure gradients have the value below 5% which is within permissible limit.






Figure 3. Pressure gradient variations with velocity for 0.04 CV, 0.10 CV and 0.30 CV for OBM and sand slurries; (case - a, b, c, d and e)

Pressure gradients for OBM slurry and sand slurry as graphically highlighted in figure 4 (a) and figure 4 (b) for volumetric concentration 0.04 Cv, 0.10 Cv and 0.30 Cv. The regression statistics show that percentage error between experimental and predicted pressure gradient have the value below 5% which is under permissible limit.



(a) OBM slurry



(b) Sand slurry

Figure 4. Relationship between experimental and predicted pressure gradient for 0.04 CV, 0.10 CV and 0.30 CV for OBM and sand slurries (a) and (b)

A relation described by Scott (1997) can be associated with these experimental findings to evaluate the percent change in pressure gradient due to the carrier fluid. Thus the effectiveness of OBM mixture slurry concentration in comparison with sand mixture slurry concentration can be presented as;

$$\Delta i_{os} = \frac{i_o - i_s}{i_s} \times 100 \quad (4)$$

where

Δi_{os} - Percentage change in pressure gradient for OBM carrier fluid related with pressure gradient of sand,

i_o - Pressure gradient of OBM slurry, (kpa/m) and

i_s - Pressure gradient of Sand slurry, (kpa/m).

Figure 5 describes that percentage change in pressure gradient for OBM slurry in relation with sand mixture.




Figure 5. Percentage change in pressure gradient for 0.04 CV 0.10 CV and 0.30 CV

WATER PERCOLATION CHARACTERISTICS

In underground mines, it is an important criterion to understand the settlement of solids in the voids after placement of the slurry at deposition stage. Water gets percolated through the barricades after placement of slurry in underground mines. The pack gets consolidated and does not remain in fluid state for a long time and prevent build of high hydrostatic heads at the barricades.

The settlement behaviour of solid particles of both sample were determined by preparing slurries with 0.25 Cv and 0.50 Cv. These slurries were poured in the buckets (capacity 20 litre) having equi-spaced holes (5mm) at the bottom for draining purpose. Then a weight of 5 kg was applied to measure the settlements in each bucket. After every two hour interval the settlement was noted down. Figure 6 shows the settlement behaviour of OBM sample and sand sample for volumetric concentrations 0.25 Cv and 0.50 Cv.




Figure 6. Settlement behavior of OBM and sand samples

CONCLUSIONS

Backfill is an important constituent of underground mining operations. The method of selection of backfill as a stowing material in place of sand has a wide range in terms of its physical properties and flow characteristics. On the basis of experimental investigation carried out in this paper some significant parameters concluded as follows.

1. A backfill containing well graded particles (OBM sample) offer more resistance to pipe flow than one with uniformly graded particles (sand sample), all other factors being equal.
2. OBM sample contain large quantity of fine and clay particles in comparison with sand sample, these particles should be separated out or washed out before using for stowing purpose to avoid clogging. It was observed that these particles get accumulated to form a thickened paste with other particles, so at low flow rates reduces velocities causing pressure loss and ultimately reduction of power (energy loss) to pump the slurry to destination.
3. Most of the additional physical properties of OBM sample are comparable with sand as a stowing material.
4. Permeability of OBM sample is found to be lower than sand sample tested in this study as expected which is mainly affected by the fine particles, reduces percolation rate through voids. So these particles should be sorted out to ensure high percolation for draining criteria.
5. Because of the presence of higher amount of sand particles in the OB samples, there will be fast removal of water passing through the OB material that is one of the characteristic features of stowing material.
6. As shown in Figure5, 15 - 20 % reduction occurs in pressure gradient for higher velocities zone (6 m/sec - 10 m/sec); as the concentration increases from 0.04 Cv to 0.30 Cv compared to sand slurry. Negative values indicate a decreased pattern of pressure gradient (pressure loss).It refers minimum energy consumption to the system for OBM slurry, thus influences the chances of selection of OBM sample as a stowing material in place of sand.
7. Slurry residual settlement mainly affected by its water-draining and settlement characteristics which are closely related to each other. Faster settlement avoids clogging of the pores. It also eases drainage of the water.

Notations and units

A-area of c/s of circular pipe, (m²).

C_c-coefficient of curvature

C_u-coefficient of uniformity

C_v-volumetric concentration (%)

C_{vo}-volumetric concentration of OBM (%)

C_{vs}-volumetric concentration of Sand (%)

D-particle size diameter (mm)

Q-discharge through circular pipe (m³/sec)

i-pressure gradient of slurry (kpa/m)

*i*_o-pressure gradient of OBM slurry (kpa/m)

*i*_s-pressure gradient of Sand slurry (kpa/m)

PG_{exp}-experimental pressure gradient (kpa/m)

PG_{pre}-predicted pressure gradient (kpa/m)

V-average flow velocity (m/sec)

VO-velocity of OBM slurry (m/sec)

Vs-velocity of Sand slurry (m/sec)

γ_b -bulk unit weight (gm/cc)

γ_d -dry unit weight (gm/cc)

S-specific gravity of Solids

Δi_{os} -percentage change in pressure gradient for OBM carrier fluid related with pressure gradient of sand

REFERENCES

- Barapanda, P. 2001. *Utilization of coal mining wastes-An Overview*: National Seminar on Environmental Issues and Waste Management in Mining and Allied Industries, Regional Engg. College, Rourkela, Orissa, India: pp177–182.
- Barret, J. R., Coulthard, M. A. and Dight, P.M. 1978. *Determination of fill stability in mining with backfill*, 12th Canadian Rock Mechanics Symposium. Canadian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy Quebec, Special Volume19:pp85–91.
- Grice, T. 2001. *Recent mine developments in Australia*: Proceedings of the 7th international symposium on mining with backfill (MINEFILL):351-7.
- Alehossein, Shen, B., Qin, Z. and Huddelstone-holmes, C. 2012. *Flow analysis, transportation and deposition of frictional, viscoplastic slurries and pastes in civil and mining engineering*: Journal of Kesimal, A., Yilmaz, E. and Ercikdi, B. 2002. *Evaluation of paste backfill mixtures consisting of sulfide-rich mill tailings and varying cement contents, Evaluation of paste backfill mixtures consisting of sulfide-rich mill tailings and varying cement contents*: Cement and Concrete Research (34):pp1817–1822.
- Prashant, Ghosh, C. N. and Mandal, P. K. 2010. *Use of crushed and washed overburden for stowing in underground mine*: Journal of Mines, Metals and Fuels pp7-12.
- Scott, S. H. 1997. *The effect of fine sediment rheology on coarse sediment transport in pipes*: PhD dissertation, Colorado State University Fort Collins, Colorado, USA.
- Yaseen, S., Pal, A., Singh, S. and Yousuf, I. 2012. *A Study of Physico-Chemical Characteristics of OB Dump Materials from Selected Coal Mining Areas of Raniganj Coal Fields*: Global Journals Inc. USA.